THE DIRECT TAX VIVAD SE VISHWAS ACT, 2020

CONTENTS

SALIENT FEATURES OF THE DIRECT TAX VIVAD SE VISHWAS ACT, 2020 ('SCHEME')	2
STEPS INVOLVED IN PAYMENT OF TAX ARREARS	3
IMPORTANT DEFINIITIONS UNDER THE SCHEME	4
AMOUNT PAYABLE BY DECLARANT	7
FILING OF DECLARATION	8
IMMUNITY FROM INITIATION OF PROCEEDINGS	10
NON APPLICABILITY OF SCHEME	11

SALIENT FEATURES OF THE DIRECT TAX VIVAD SE VISHWAS ACT, 2020 ('SCHEME')

- The Direct Tax Vivad se Vishwas Act, 2020 is a separate Act and not part of the Income-tax Act, 1961 ('Act').
- The Scheme is on similar lines to the *Sabka Vishwas* Scheme introduced under Indirect tax regime, Amount stuck in litigation: Rs. 3.75 lakh crores; No of cases settled under the Scheme: 1,89,000; Realisation from the scheme: around Rs. 38,000 crores.
- For Direct Taxes, 4,83,000 cases pending, amount stuck in litigation: Rs. 9.32 lakh crore (as on 30 November 2019)
- In the Statement of objects and reasons it is clearly stated that the scheme is for dispute resolution relating to direct taxes, however the scheme provides only for disputes in relation to Income Tax Act, 1961 and not for Wealth Tax or other direct taxes. It needs to be seen whether such exclusion was envisaged by the legislature.
- \circ Appeals pending as on 31st day of January 2020 are covered by the scheme.
- Last date for opting for the scheme is yet to be notified. However, as per the Budget speech of the Hon'ble Finance Minister, the scheme will be operative up to 30 June 2020.
- The appeals can be pertaining to any assessment year.
- There is no minimum or maximum eligibility criterion for the purpose of opting into the scheme.
- The Scheme provides for payment of 100% of the disputed tax and consequently no interest and penalty shall be levied for such disputed tax. The scheme does not provide any reduction in payment of disputed tax, unlike the Sabka Vishwas Scheme which provided for the same under the indirect taxes regime.
- Assent from the President is yet to be received and the scheme shall be effective on the day it receives assent from the President.
- To improve the ecosystem of the taxpayers and tax administrators, the CBDT should seek to allay the fears, whether settlement of the tax dispute by a tax payer would allow the tax administrator to take similar position in law for future assessments, thus never ending the spiral of litigation.

STEPS INVOLVED IN PAYMENT OF TAX ARREARS

Filing of declaration before designated authority in prescribed form, withdrawal of appeals and providing undertaking to designated authority

[Section 4]

Designated authority pass order and to grant certificate within 15 days which would specify tax arrears and amount payable

[Section 5(1)]

Declarant to pay the amount within 15 days of receipt of certificate mentioned in section 5(1) and intimate the authorities

[Section 5(2)]

Designated authority shall pass order that payment has been made

[Section 5(2)]

IMPORTANT DEFINIITIONS UNDER THE SCHEME

Important

Definitions

[Section 2]

- The Scheme provides for the 'declarant' to file a 'declaration' in respect of the matters, for which the declarant / appellant is in appeal.
- The Scheme is in respect of an appellant who has filed appeal before the appellate forum and such appeal is pending as on 31 January 2020 (being the 'specified date' under the Scheme).
- The appellate forum covered under the Scheme means the Commissioner (Appeals) ('CIT(A)'), Income Tax Appellate Tribunal ('ITAT'), High Court , Supreme Court.
- The declaration is in respect of 'tax arrear'.
 - 'tax arrear':

(i) the aggregate amount of disputed tax, interest chargeable or charged on such disputed tax, and penalty leviable or levied on such disputed tax; or
(ii) disputed interest; or
(iii) disputed penalty; or
(iv) disputed fee,
as determined under the provisions of the Income-tax Act;

- Section 2(j)(i) defines Disputed tax as:
 - The tax determined by the following formula : (A-B) + (C-D)
 - Wherein, 'A' means the tax payable on the total income assessed under the Act (general provisions), other than the provisions of section 115JB (MAT) and section 115JC (AMT)
 - 'B' means the amount of tax that would be chargeable as per the general provisions of the Act, in respect of such total income, reduced by the amount in respect of which the appeal has been filed by the appellant
 - Similarly, 'C' refers to the amount of tax on total income assessed as per sections 115JB and 115JC, as the case may be

Important	\circ 'D' refers to amount of tax that would be chargeable as per the
Definitions	provisions of section 115JB/115JC, as the case may be, in
[contd.]	respect of such total income, reduced by the amount in respect
	of which the appeal has been filed by the appellant
Section [2)]	\circ However, where the disputed amount in appeal would require the
	general provisions of the Act to be considered, then for the
	purposes of the formula such amount should not be reduced from
	the total income assessed while determining the amount in 'D'
	\circ Further, where the provisions of section 115JB/115JC are not
	applicable, then (C-D) is to be ignored.
	$\circ~$ In case where the amount in dispute has the effect of reducing a
	loss, or converting a loss into an income, in respect of the loss
	declared in the return of income by the declarant, then for the
	purposes of the formula (A-B) the tax chargeable in respect of
	the appeal filed, would be such income, had it been the total
	income.
	- Section 2(j)(ii) also defines Disputed tax as:
	\circ The tax determined under section 200A (Processing of
	statements of tax deducted at source)
	• Section 201(Consequences of failure to deduct or pay tax)
	\circ Section 206C(6A) (Consequences of failure to collect/pay of tax
	collected at source)
	\circ Section 206CB (Processing of statements of tax collected at
	source)
	\circ Section 2(1)(e) defines 'designated authority' as an officer not
	below the rank of a Commissioner of Income-tax notified by the
	Principal Chief Commissioner for the purposes of this Scheme;
	\circ Section 2(2) states that the words and expressions used in the
	Scheme and not defined in the Scheme but defined in the
	Income-tax Act, 1961, shall have the meaning respectively
	assigned to them in the Income-tax Act, 1961.

VTPA Comments	- The Scheme does not provide for the proceedings before the 'Dispute
	Resolution Panel' ('DRP') to be settled.
	- It needs to be considered that the DRP proceedings were introduced for
	the purposes of providing an alternate basis to settle tax disputes.
	However, unfortunately, this goal has not been achieved. Thus,
	considering the thrust of the Scheme, DRP proceedings should also
	have been covered under the Scheme.
	- The Scheme covers all persons whether resident or non-resident under
	the Act.

AMOUNT PAYABLE BY DECLARANT

As per Section 3:

Sr.	Nature of tax arrear (Disputed	Amount payable on or	Amount payable
No.	tax liability)	before 31 March 2020	on or after 1 April
			2020 up to the last
			day of the scheme
1	Tax arrear is aggregate of disputed tax, interest chargeable	100% of the disputed tax, i.e., without taking into	110% of the disputed tax
	or charged on such arrears and penalty leviable or levied on such tax	consideration interest and penalty	
2	Tax arrear relates to disputed interest or disputed penalty or disputed fee	25% of the disputed interest or disputed penalty or disputed fee	30% of the disputed liability

VTPA Comments	- The Scheme does tend to provide an option, to settle disputed tax or
	disputed interest or disputed penalty or disputed fee. However, the
	Scheme may require clarifying the manner in which the disputed interest
	can be settled without settling the disputed tax liability.
	- Further, from the bare reading of the Scheme, disputed penalty may be
	settled though the disputed tax liability continues to be litigated.

FILING OF DECLARATION

Filing of	- Section 4 deals with the declaration to be filed with respect to the
declaration and	disputed tax arrear on various counts before the designated authority.
particulars to be furnished [Section 4]	- Section 4 states that from the date on which the certificate under section 5(1) of the Scheme is issued in respect of the declaration filed, any appeal pending before CIT(A) or ITAT, in respect of the disputed tax, arrear shall be deemed to have been withdrawn.
	- In respect of any appeals or writs filed before the High Court or the Supreme Court against any order in respect of disputed tax arrear, the declarant would be required to withdraw such appeal or writ petition, as required by law and furnish to designated authority proof of such withdrawal, alongwith the declaration.
	- Further, where the declarant has initiated any proceedings or given notice thereof, in respect of any proceeding for arbitration, conciliation or mediation under any law in force or any treaty entered into by India with any other country or territory outside India, whether in respect of protection of investment (e.g., Investment Promotion Protection Agreement signed by India, etc); then the Scheme requires the declarant to withdraw all claims under such proceedings or notice given thereof, prior to making the declaration and furnish proof thereof to the designated authority.
	- The declarant is also required to give an undertaking in respect of the tax arrear, whereby he waives all rights under any law or in equity or agreement entered into by India with any country or territory outside India of any nature.
	- The presumption under the Scheme is that all the declarations made by the declarant would be nullified and all the consequences under the Act against the declarant would be deemed to be revived if:
	(a) any material particular furnished in the declaration is found to be false at any stage;
	(b) the declarant violates any of the conditions referred to in this Act;
	(c) the declarant acts in any manner which is not in accordance with the undertaking given by him

The second second second	Examples a section $\mathcal{F}(2)$ states that area on order has been reased under
Time and manner	- Further section $5(3)$ states that once an order has been passed under
of payment	section 5(1) containing particulars of the tax arrear and the amount
	payable by the declarant after such determination, then no further
[Section 5]	proceedings can be initiated under the Act or under any law in force or
	any agreement as noted above.
VTPA Comment	- The provisions of section 4 are very onerous and the declarant would
	need to be aware that he would be waving all his rights under the Act
	DTAA, BIPA and under general provisions of law, if he intends to be
	covered under the Scheme.
	- The Scheme states that no appellate forum or arbitrator, conciliator or
	mediator can continue any issue relating to any tax arrear. It would need
	to be seen in which manner such forums sitting outside India would be
	governed by the domestic law of India, and further how the appellate
	forums of India would be affected by such provision in the Scheme, e.g.
	only the ITAT has the power to permit an appeal to be withdrawn, car
	the scheme provide for a deeming withdrawal of such appeal is a
	question which may require further consideration.
	- However where the tax dispute has been carried forward in appeal by
	the tax department, the Scheme does not spell out in detail, how such tax
	dispute would need to be settled by the declarant and what would be the
	duties or the responsibilities of the tax department to bring an end to
	such dispute.

IMMUNITY FROM INITIATION OF PROCEEDINGS

- Section 6 and section 8 of the Scheme needs to be read together.
- Section 6 clearly states that the designated authority cannot initiate any
proceeding in respect of any offence in respect to tax arrear or impose or
levy any penalty or charge any interest in respect of the tax arrear.
- Further, section 8 tends to constrict the immunity granted under the
scheme in terms of section 5(3) and 6 by stating that nothing contained
in the Scheme can be construed to give any benefit or concession in any
other proceedings under any law in India other than those in relation to
which the declaration is made.
- The Scheme does provide for benefits/concessions/immunity. However, this benefit is only in relation to the declaration and not anything beyond that.

NON APPLICABILITY OF SCHEME

Scheme not to Section 9 of the Scheme provides cases wherein the provisions of the apply in certain Scheme would not apply. They are as under: • Tax arrear arising in respect of assessment made under section cases 153A and section 153C of the Act (Assessment in respect of [Section 9] Search or Requisition cases) • Cases in which prosecution under the Act has been instituted on or before the date of declaration Tax arrear in respect of cases relating to undisclosed income 0 arising from a source or undisclosed asset located outside India • Assessments made on the basis of any information received under an agreement referred to in section 90 or 90A \circ Appeal's before CIT(A) in respect of which 'notice of enhancement' under section 251 has been issued on or before 31 January 2020 In respect of any person an order of detention has been made under the provisions of the Conservation of Foreign Exchange and Prevention of Smuggling Activities Act, 1974 on or before the filing of declaration, subject to certain conditions provided in the scheme In respect of which prosecution has been instituted for any \cap offences in respect of various legislations mentioned in the Scheme or for the purpose of enforcement of any civil liability before the date of declaration or such person has been convicted of any offence punishable under the said legislations. to any person notified under section 3 of the Special Court (Trial 0 of Offences Relating to Transactions in Securities) Act, 1992 on or before the filing of declaration.

VTPA Comments	- The exceptions provided especially in respect of proceedings under the
	Income-tax Act, 1961 could have been more liberal so as to encourage
	the declarant for paying taxes and settling the issue; albeit the amount
	payable under the Scheme could have been different vis-à-vis the
	normal tax arrears.